

[REDACTED] (OLAF)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: samedi 26 octobre 2002 19:57
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: [REDACTED]

OLAF Operat...

To: [REDACTED] EU Commissioner in charge of reforms and disciplinary matters
From: [REDACTED] EU citizen.
Date: [REDACTED], 26 October 2002.
Subj.: The enquiry against Mrs. [REDACTED]

OLAF	15868
28. 11. 2005	
Attr.	302
Info.	
Anhe.	

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

Although withdrawn from the European scene I still follow the way Commission deals with its staff that report internal mismanagement and possible irregularities.

Mrs. [REDACTED] reported internally serious mismanagement and possible irregularities. When no appropriate reaction came, she reported externally, with appropriate bodies, moderately and responsibly. Auditors from the Commission and the European Court of Auditors support her analysis. Her case is of particular interest because of the serious indications towards possible structural irregularities. The Commission reacts as follows:

- Mrs. [REDACTED] is removed from her post as [REDACTED] suspended and publicly discredited. You personally announce disciplinary procedures against her, and this despite the advice of your services (Legal Service and Investigation and Disciplinary Office) not to do so.
- You nominated the director-general of the EU Publication Office as president of an enquiry against Mrs. [REDACTED]. As you know, this service was prominently mentioned in my 234-page note of 31.08.2001 on possible irregularities in the Commission and is subject to OLAF investigations.
- Last week, this gentleman wrote to Mrs. [REDACTED] calling her for a hearing with view to a "possible launch of a disciplinary procedure". Mr. [REDACTED] you then wrote to the EP to assert Mrs. [REDACTED] was already under disciplinary procedure. The end result is that the conference of presidents at the EP voted on majority not to hear her. Now Mrs. [REDACTED] contrary the letter last week, is told that she is under disciplinary procedure. If true, this would not be in accordance with the regulations (to put it mildly).
- The hearing of Mrs. [REDACTED] has been postponed (to mid November). First other officers are invited for hearing. The same gentleman referred to before refuses to supply Mrs. [REDACTED] or her lawyer with copies of invitation letters and hearing minutes, claiming secrecy. Mr. [REDACTED] this is in contradiction with your 2001 correspondence to me, in which you inform me that an official under enquiry has access to the proceedings concerning his case as long as the case is open. At that time you used this to refuse me access to my file.

*note to
K in*

Mr. [REDACTED], the treatment of Mrs. [REDACTED] by you and your services is highly questionable and may have serious implications for the EU Commission.

Yours sincerely,
[REDACTED]
EU citizen

Broadband? Dial-up? Get reliable MSN Internet Access.
<http://resourcecenter.msn.com/access/plans/default.asp>

NI / nd I (2005-AC-10053)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: mardi 5 novembre 2002 13:17 I/09324 29.11.05
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Your treatment of Mrs. [REDACTED]

I am preparing a short note from [REDACTED] to Mr. [REDACTED] to clarify the point made by [REDACTED] in his e mail of 31/10 in which he states OLAF has no case concerning the Hearing Member. Some weeks ago I wrote to Mrs [REDACTED] inviting her to contact us. No response. Perhaps [REDACTED] should be informed of this to enable him to respond to [REDACTED]. Whatever is decided all of us should be informed about what is proposed to be said in order to ensure accuracy.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: mardi 5 novembre 2002 8:38
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Your treatment of Mrs. [REDACTED]

Do we answer? [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: lundi 4 novembre 2002 19:14
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Your treatment of Mrs. [REDACTED]

The truth is more worth ...

Get a speedy connection with MSN Broadband. Join now!
<http://resourcecenter.msn.com/access/plans/freeactivation.asp>

Fiche de circulation

Date d'encodage registre :	Référence registre :
Date d'encodage répertoire : 24/11/2005	Référence répertoire : OLAF/B/02/2005/1/10053.--

Date du document : (INT.: 24/11/2005) Documents : 0 Pages scannées : 0 Statut : restreint Nominatif : non

Expéditeur(s)	Référence [REDACTED]
Nom/prénom [REDACTED]	Service/organisme [REDACTED]
	I/09324 29.11.05

Destinataire(s) (4)	
Nom/prénom	Service/organisme
T [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
T [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
T [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Objet RE: YOUR TREATMENT OF MRS [REDACTED] OF/2002/0356

Code	Nom	Reçu le	N° Tél.	Adresse
VISA	[REDACTED]	24.11.05	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
VISA	[REDACTED]	25.11.05	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
VIA	[REDACTED]	25.11.2005	25.11.05	[REDACTED]
EXPD	[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]

Remarque :
[REDACTED]

From: [redacted]
Sent: mardi 5 novembre 2002 8:38
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Your treatment of Mrs. [redacted]

---> [redacted]
I guess you follow him up?

Hastor

I/09323 29.11.05

- 20021030 note [redacted]
- 20021026 mail [redacted].doc
- 20021104 [redacted] reply to [redacted].doc

Do we answer? [redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
Sent: lundi 4 novembre 2002 19:14
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Your treatment of Mrs. [redacted]

The truth is more worth ...

Get a speedy connection with MSN Broadband. Join now!
<http://resourcecenter.msn.com/access/plans/freeactivation.asp>

To: [REDACTED]
From: [REDACTED]
Date: [REDACTED], 26 October 2002.
Subj.: The enquiry against Mrs. [REDACTED]

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

Although withdrawn from the European scene I still follow the way the Commission deals with its staff that report internal mismanagement and possible irregularities.

Mrs. [REDACTED] reported internally serious mismanagement and possible irregularities. When no appropriate reaction came, she reported externally, with appropriate bodies, moderately and responsibly. Auditors from the Commission and the European Court of Auditors support her analysis. Her case is of particular interest because of the serious indications towards possible structural irregularities. The Commission reacts as follows:

- Mrs. [REDACTED] is removed from her post as Commission accountant, suspended and publicly discredited. You personally announce disciplinary procedures against her, and this despite the advice of your services (Legal Service and Investigation and Disciplinary Office) not to do so.
- You nominated the director-general of the EU Publication Office as president of an enquiry against Mrs. [REDACTED]. As you know, this service was prominently mentioned in my 234-page note of 31.08.2001 on possible irregularities in the Commission and is subject to OLAF investigations.
- Last week, this gentleman wrote to [REDACTED] calling her for a hearing with view to a "possible launch of a disciplinary procedure". Mr. [REDACTED], you then wrote to the EP to assert Mrs. [REDACTED] was already under disciplinary procedure. The end result is that the conference of presidents at the EP voted on majority not to hear her. Now Mrs. [REDACTED] contrary the letter last week, is told that she is under disciplinary procedure. If true, this would not be in accordance with the regulations (to put it mildly).
- The hearing of Mrs. [REDACTED] has been postponed (to mid November). First other officers are invited for hearing. The same gentleman referred to before refuses to supply Mrs. [REDACTED] or her lawyer with copies of invitation letters and hearing minutes, claiming secrecy. Mr. [REDACTED] this is in contradiction with your 2001 correspondence to me, in which you inform me that an official under enquiry has access to the proceedings concerning his case as long as the case is open. At that time you used this to refuse me access to my file.

[REDACTED], the treatment of Mrs. [REDACTED] by you and your services is highly questionable and may have serious implications for the EU Commission.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]
EU citizen

To:

Copy:

[REDACTED]